



Evaluation ENERBUILD-Tool – existing buildings Rest Home Lajon







1 Basic information about the building

Name of the building	Rest Home Lajon
Address of the building	Ried 141. 39040 Lajen (Bz) Italy
Owner/investor	Municipality of Lajon
Year of construction	2008-2010
Planner	De Biais & Comploi Architekten
Building type	Mixed construction with bearing reinforced concrete columns and reinforced concrete kerns
Building method	Concrete walls and brick-walls with external insulation
Number of buildings	1
Number of levels above earth	4
Number of levels underground	2
Kind of the public use	Rest home
Effective area for public use in m ² (net)	
Additional private uses	1
Effective area for private use in m ² (net)	1
Total effective area in m ²	m²
Source of energy for heating	Electric energy and geothermal energy
Heating system	Heat pump 8,3kW
Water heating system	Heat pump with puffer store
Date of the building evaluation	2010



2 Execution of the building evaluation with the ENERBBUILD tool

Responsible Organisation: Eurac research, Institute for Renewable Energy

Contact person: Hannes Mahlknecht

Telephone:0039 0471 055656 Email:hannes.mahlknecht@eurac.edu

3 Results

Nr. Title (Musi chiena max.

Α		Quality of location and facilities	max. 100	56
А	1	Access to public transport network	50	6
А	2	Ecological quality of site	50	50

В		Process and planning quality		max. 200	165
В	1	Decision making and determination of goals		25	20
В	2	Formulation of verifiable objectives for energetic and ecological measures	М	20	20
В	3	Standardized calculation of the economic efficiency	М	40	0
В	4	Product-management - Use of low-emission products		60	55
В	5	Planning support for energetic optimization		60	55
В	6	nformation for users		25	15

С		Energy & Utilities (Passive house)		max. 350	302
С	1	Specific heating demand (PHPP)	М	100	87
С	2	Specific cooling demand (PHPP)	М	100	100
С	3	Primary energy demand (PHPP)	М	125	65
С	4	CO2-emissions (PHPP)		50	50

D		Health and Comfort	max. 250	117
D	1	Thermal comfort in summer	150	65
D	2	Ventilation - non energetic aspects	50	25
D	3	Daylight optimized (+ lightening optimized)	50	27

Е		Building materials and construction		max. 200	132
Е	1	DI3 _{TGH-Ic} ecological index of the thermal building envelope (respectively OI3 of the total mass of the puilding)		200	132
Sum max. 1000				772	





4 Conclusions from the building evaluation with the ENERBUILD-Tool

a) Generally

The evaluation could be done with some efforts as the building is quite large. Most of all necessary information was obtained by the municipality and the architect whit whom a meeting and discussion about the planning process was done.

b) About the planning process

The planning process was evaluated with oral information from the planers. He informed about the difficulties during the planning phases and described that all decisions were taken together with the municipality. The energetically goals were defined in an early planning stage and minimal changings in variants were planned. During the construction phase all used materials were controlled and finally held a training course to the maintenance staff of the building.

c) About the building itself

The building was evaluated with 772 points and is placed in the upper field of the ENERBUILD certification corresponding to a silver certification label.

d) About the evaluation process

Problems during the evaluation problems were met in following:

Criterion B3: Economic efficiency was not evaluated

Criterion D2: The evaluation of the sound transmissions was evaluated within an interview with the architect by checking the requirements to avoid sound transmission of ventilation machines and the employed solution sets.





5 Suggestions for improvement of the ENERBUILD-Tool

D3: The daylight calculation with the described procedure of the manual is not always applicable, for example when having spaces with windows oriented in different orientations. Maybe a daylight calculation of the most important spaces with the ad of a simple software calculation (freeware Dialux or Relux) gives a more realistic result of the used spaces.



5. Annex A: Detailed evaluation of criteria

A Quality of location and facilities

A2 Access to public transport network

The public transport was evaluated within the surrounding bus stations in a diameter of 300 meters. There is one bus-station with an hourly frequency serving the rest home.



Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano - Alto Adige Cartografia generica





	Punkte
Access to public transport network	max. 50
Points for each bus-station in a radius of 300 m with hourly frequency or shorter frequency	6
Points for each bus-station in a radius of 300 m with half-hourly frequency or shorter frequency	10
Points for each train-station in a radius of 500 m with hourly frequency or shorter frequency	5





Points for each train-station in a radius of 500 m with half-hourly frequency or shorter frequency			8
EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained p	oints
	50	6	

A2 Ecological quality of site

An ancient rectory was bought by the municipality and demolished for giving place to the new rest home building, located in the main square of the village.

• Therefore criteria a1 – area with zero ecological value:

Performance score	Calculated Ecological value of land
-1 – negative	>5
0 – standard	5
3 – good	2.6
5 - excellent	1

Performance score 5

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	50	50



B Process and planning quality

B1 Decision making and determination of goals

The municipality did an invited competition for architects. Highest emphasis for the award criterions was given to the economical overall cost as well to the references projects of the candidates. Before launching the competition a feasibility study was done and the 0-variant excluded.

Criteria	Max points	Obtained points
Exists a documentation of the decision making process	10	10
Did variants be considered and evaluated?	5	5
Evaluation of the 0-variant	5	5
Exists a documentation of the evaluation scheme of the variants	4	-
Does it contain:		
Urbanism	2	
Access to public transport	2	
Use of area and floor	2	
Energy efficiency	2	
Ecological use of materials	2	

EB-points:	Max. points	Obtained points
	25	20

B2 Formulation of verifiable objectives for energetic and ecological measures

Definition of minimum criteria by fixing some limit values:

- The municipality fixed at the beginning of the planning process a limit for the energy consumption for heating. They defined the CasaClima B limit with 50kWh/m²a as minimum standard for the new rest home. Later on, this objective was pushed up to the performance limit of CasaClima A with 30kWh/m²a.
- The air tightness was fixed within the passive house label and the CasaClima certification: n50,lim < 0,6 h(-1)
- Efficiency of the ventilation system: the tenant and planner choose a product which was certified by the passive-house institute with a high efficiency.
- The use of sustainable products was taken into account.





EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	25	20

B3 Standardized calculation of the economic efficiency

The live cycle costs and the economic efficiency were not calculated in the planning phase.

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	40	0

B4 Product-management-Use of low-emission products

The planner and the municipality decided from beginning on, that products with low emission should be used (insulation material, floorings, windows). All building materials were put into the tender and controlled on the construction site.

Criteria	Max points	Obtained points
Exists a documentation of the ecological optimization of the materials during the planning phases	10	5
The tender for all craftworks have been declared ecologically? Criteria like in baubook. 100% of works	20	10
90% of works 70% of works		
Were all products of all craftworks declared?		
100%	30	30
90%	20	
70%	10	
Does un ecological building supervision exist? Did the supervisor do regularly inspections on the building site?	20	10
 Partially construction process 	10	





EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	60	55

B5 Planning support for energetic optimization

The energetically aspects during the planning and construction phase were considered and optimized.

Criteria	Max points	Obtained points
Compilation of a space allocation plan	5	5
Roomly distribution of air-flows as calculated in PHPP	5	5
Establishment of internal heat gains	5	5
Consideration of thermal bridges with 0,003 W(m ² K)	5	5
Description of energetically requirements (Uw,Ug, g-value, effectiveness heat recovery) in tendering	5	5
Control of energetically aspects in offers	5	5
Support of site manager in energetically aspects with meetings on building site	5	5
Protocol of the initial measurement of the ventilation system	5	5
Protocol of the blower door test	5	5
Protocol of hydraulically adjustment of heating system	5	5
Compilation of energy requirements calculation after the construction phase, blower door test	5	5
Independent evaluation of the energy requirement calculation	5	0

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	60	55





B6 Information for users

Different hand outs were given to the administration responsible of the building containing instructions about the HVQC system and the operating mode of a high efficient building. The maintenance supervisor was educated to use and control all building employed technologies.

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	25	15



C Energy & Utilities (Passive house)

C1 Specific heating demand (PHPP)

Specific space heat demand: 20 kWh/m²a

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	100	87

C2 Specific cooling demand (PHPP)

Specific cooling demand: 0 kWh/m²a

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	100	100

C3 Primary energy demand (PHPP)

Specific primary energy demand: 141 kWh/m²a

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	125	65

C4 CO2-emissions (PHPP)

CO2-emissions: 25kg/m²a

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	50	50

Г



D Health and Comfort

D1 Thermal comfort in summer

Criterion	Points (max 150)
Building with less than 35 % Windows surfaces and without active cooling system	50
Analysis based on ON B8110-3	50
Or analysis OIB RL-6; KB* < 0,4 kWh/m³a	35
Or analysis OIB RL-6; KB* < 0,6 kWh/m³a	65
Or Analysis PHPP, Überschreitung 26 °C < 5 %	
Dynamical building simulation (at least for critical rooms) considerating the local climate, flexible shading systems and the respected usage of the buliding.	
exceeding 26 $^{\circ}$ C < 5 % without activ coling system (e.b.free night cooling)	150
exceeding 26 $^{\circ}$ C < 10 % without activ coling system (e.b.free night cooling)	50
exceeding 26 $^{\circ}$ C < 3 % with active cooling system	75
Analysis to prevent air currents (v < 0,1 m/s, ΔT < 2 K at the domicile)	75

Relation of opaque and transparent surfaces: 1764m² of opaque surfaces and 527m² of transparent surfaces. 29,8% of the surfaces are transparent, therefore the overheating analysis was made with the non dynamic calculation software PHPP.

The result of the overheating frequency is 0,2%

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	150	65



D2 Ventilation – non energetic aspects

Criterion	Points (max 50)
Sound transmission calculation (depending on the room use), prognostic of expected sound presser level $L_{A,nT}$ < 30 dB and $L_{C(50-4000),nT}$ < 50 dB	25
Sound emission calculation on most exposed working place $L_{A,nT}$ < 30 dB and $L_{C(50-4000),nT}$ < 50 dB	40
Sound emission calculation on most exposed working place $L_{A,nT} < 30 \text{ dB}$ und $L_{C(50-4000),nT} < 50 \text{ dB}$	50

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	50	25

Product sheet of mechanical Ventilation Heat Recovery Unit campus 500 DC

minimum ventilation (300 m³/h) 35,6 dB(A)

normal ventilation (500 m³/h) 37,7 dB(A)

maximum ventilation (600 m³/h) 39,7 dB(A)

The passive house certificate declares for this unit the usage of acoustical absorbers in room with air inlets and outlets. The installation of the ventilation machine has to be in a separated sound decoupled room. All this requirements were respected and therefore awarded 25 points.



D3 Daylight optimized (+ lightening optimized)

The daylight factor was calculated with following formula from UNI EN 15193, 2008 for each room:



Rooms	Daylight factor [%]
Entry hall 1th floor	3,6
Kitchen 1th floor	3,0
Exemplary room 2 nd floor	2,6
Exemplary room 3th floor	3,9

The average daylight factor was calculated with following formula



Result:

Mean daylight factor:

3,3

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	50	27





E Building materials and construction

E1 $OI3_{TGH-Ic}$ ecological index of the thermal building envelope (respectively OI3 of the total mass of the building)

OI3 _{TGH,BGF}= 104 points

 $pts = 2 * (0,0007 * OI3_{TGH-BGF_h}^2 - 0,623 * OI3_{TGH-BGF_h} + 123)$

EB-points:	Max. points:	Obtained points
	200	132